

# INFORMATION

FOR

**ARCHIBALD TAIT, Suspend.**

AGAINST

**The Right Honourable the Earl of ROSEBERIE, Charger.**

**T**HE question now before your Lordships, is produced by an action raised at the instance of the Earl of Roseberie, against the informant. The action is of a criminal nature, and insisted in before the justices of peace, for the county of Linlithgow; who have proceeded so far as not only to take a proof, but even to pronounce a sentence inflicting corporal punishment of a very severe nature upon the suspender. These proceedings have been removed into this court by bill of suspension, the defender having objected both to the competency of the court where the action was originally brought, to try the matter contained in the libel, and to the form of their proceedings, which he contended were altogether irregular and illegal.

After various papers had been wrote by both sides on this subject, your Lordships appointed a hearing, and afterwards you pronounced the following deliverance; 'The Lords Justice Clerk and Lords Commissioners of Justiciary, having heard parties procurators, They ordain them to give in informations upon these points, viz. How far justices of peace, have a jurisdiction to try the crime charged in the original complaint, exhibited against the suspender; and secondly, whither they could proceed in such complaint without

A

calling

‘ calling a jury ; ordain these informations to be printed, and given  
 ‘ in to the clerk of court, in order to be recorded, &c.’

In obedience to this order, the present information is given in on  
 the part of the suspender, and in order to treat the first question  
 mentioned in your Lordships deliverance, viz. How far the justices  
 of peace have a jurisdiction to try the crime charged in the ori-  
 ginally complaint, it will be necessary in the first place, to consider  
 the nature of the charge contained in the libel, and what crime it pro-  
 perly speaking amounts to, in order to which, it will be necessary  
 to resume the charge contained in the libel itself.

The original complaint is in the name, not only of the Earl of  
 Roseberie, but of the procurator-fiscal of court; and is signed by the  
 procurator-fiscal: It sets, furth in the major proposition, ‘ That  
 ‘ whereby, the laws of this and every other well-governed realm the  
 ‘ feloniously abstracting and disposing upon any persons property  
 ‘ and applying the money arising therefrom, to their own use, are  
 ‘ crimes of a high nature, and severely punishable: and such crimes  
 ‘ are highly aggravated, when committed in breach of trust, by a  
 ‘ servant, whose business it is rather to protect their masters proper-  
 ‘ ty, than to embezel and alienate it to his prejudice;” Here,  
 though the words stealing or theft, are not specifically made use of,  
 yet the charge can admit of no other construction, than that of the  
 crime of theft, for the words ‘ *feloniously abstracting* and disposing u-  
 ‘ pon any persons property, and applying the money arising there-  
 ‘ from to their own use,’ is precisely the definition of theft in the  
 civil law, *fraudulosa contractatio rei alienæ animo lucri faciendi*.

The minor preposition sets furth, that the suspender had at dif-  
 ferent times, in a felonious manner, abstracted and disposed upon  
 to different persons, considerable quantities of hay, corn, and straw;  
 and after specifying some particulars, the libel proceeds thus, ‘ That  
 ‘ besides these fellonious or *theftuous* practices above mentioned,  
 ‘ the said Archibald Tait, did about the term of Whitsunday last,  
 ‘ abstract from the complainers said farms, at Ochiltree, and dispose  
 ‘ of to the said John Finlayson, about three bolls of oats, the pro-  
 ‘ perty of the said complainer, and applied the money arising there  
 ‘ from to his own use; at least, the said Archibald Tait, during the  
 ‘ time foresaid, hath been guilty art and part of *theftuously* abstract-  
 ‘ ing from the complainers said farms, at Ochiltree, and disposing  
 ‘ of at different times; within the time foresaid, considerable quan-  
 ‘ tities of hay, corn, and straw, the property of the private com-  
 ‘ plainer: By all which *different acts of theft*, committed in breach  
 ‘ of trust as aforesaid, the private complainer hath suffered conside-  
 ‘ rably ;’



ably;" here the words *theftuous*, *theftuously*, and acts of theft, leave no doubt concerning the nature of the charge, and that it was for the crime of theft, the suspender was tried.

The lybel contains the ordinary criminal conclusions, and the sentence pronounced by the justices, was in the following words, ' Linlithgow, May 13th 1774. The justices having considered this complaint, defences offered thereagainst, with the proof adduced, and books and writes produced: Find, the defender Archibald Tait, guilty of embezzeling oats, hay and straw the property of the Earl of Roseberie, under the defender's trust; and therefore grant warrant to the constables, to carry the said Archibald Tait, from the bar of court, to the tolbooth of Linlithgow, the keepers whereof, are hereby ordered to receive and detain him therein; untill Friday next the twentieth current, and ordain the said Archibald Tait, at eleven o'Clock of the forenoon of that day, to be carried by the constables from said tolbooth to the pillory, at the market cross of Linlithgow, and to stand thereon bare-headed, untill this sentence is audibly read over in his presence, and from thenceforth, the justices banish him this county for life, with certification, if he is found within the county, at any time after the 10th day of June next, he will be apprehended, and the justices grant warrant, to apprehend him accordingly, and to incarcerate him in the tolbooth of Linlithgow, for the space of one month, and to be publicly whipt through the town of Linlithgow, on each market day, during that month, and thereafter to be rebanished, and they appoint the like imprisonment and whipping, to be repeated as often as the said Archibald Tait, shall be found within the county, and decern accordingly.'

In order to determine, whether these proceedings, fell under the powers of the justices of peace, it will be necessary to look back, on the origin and history of that species of magistrates in the law of Scotland.

On considering this point, it will be found, that the justices of peace, are not of that class of judges ordinary, which being creatures of the common law, and of an institution beyond the memory of record, are considered as coeval with the law itself, and to possess an unlimited jurisdiction over all species of actions, that are consistent with the nature of their jurisdiction, either criminal or civil, such as are sheriffs, magistrates of burrows, &c. for where the law does not appear, to have expressly limited the cognizance of any question to particular courts, they are allowed to take them under their cognizance

nizance, by virtue of general powers, supposed to be vested in them by the law.

The creation of justices of peace, is within the memory of record, of no very ancient date; they are the creatures of special statute, which at the same time that it erected the office, did also with precision, define the extent and limits of their jurisdiction, declaring in what causes they shall decide, and having given them no general powers, they cannot exceed the special ones, that the law has devolved on them.

It is of material consequence therefore, to consider the laws, by which the office of justice of peace has been introduced into this country, the deduction of which is not an unpleasant, though for a long time, a much unattended to part of our law.

In ancient times, the whole criminal jurisdiction of Scotland almost, vested in the justice-general and his deputies, the original judges of that court, in which your Lordships now sit. A very considerable degree of criminal jurisdiction, however, was vested in other judges, such as Lord of Regality, Barons, either with, or without pit and gallows, and sheriffs, the last two species of jurisdictions, being subject to the reversal of, and appeal to the justiciary, and the former excluded in the case of pleas of the crown, and hampered by the conditions annexed to the right of repledging.

The heretable jurisdictions, became odious, at a very early period in the law of Scotland, and long before they were so much multiplied as they afterwards were, much to the prejudice of the liberty of the subject, and much to the gratification of the private passions of landed proprietors. They were odious to the people, on account of the oppression they suffered from the partiality of the judges that sat in such courts, and they were odious, even to the crown, when the measures of administration were directed, by such as could coolly and deliberately reflect on the various operations of government, and their effects, upon the welfare of the state, though it too often happened, that the influence of favourites, or the necessities of the crown, became paramount to all principles of sound policy, and multiplied those mischievous jurisdictions, at the request of individuals, in spite of a system that never seems to have been totally out of the view of the officers of the crown, and of parliament, to reduce them within very narrow bounds.

The office of justices of the peace, had been very early known in England, it is said, that there were conservators of the peace, whose original appointment goes beyond the memory of record in that kingdom,



dom, and therefore originated from the common law. Lord chief Justice Coke, in his second institute, commenting on the *articuli super chartas*, which was an act in the 28th Edward I. p. 558, tells us, 'Of ancient time, before the making of this act, such officers or ministers, as were instituted either for preservation of the peace of the county, or for execution of justice, because it concerned all the subjects of that county, and they had a great interest in just and due exercises of their several places, were by force of the king's writ in every several county, chosen in full or open county, by the free-holders of that county; as before the institution of justices of peace, there were *conservatores pacis* in every county, whose office (according to their names) was to conserve the king's peace, and to protect the obedient and innocent subjects from force and violence. These conservators, by the ancient common law; were, by force of the king's writ, chosen in full and open county *de probioribus et potentioribus comitatus*, &c. by the freeholders of the county, after which election, so made and returned, then in that case, the king directed a writ to the party so elected.'

In the ancient periods of our law, there were few material instances of sound legislation in England, that were not followed in Scotland, as soon as circumstances would permit; though, sometimes, a considerable tract of time passed over before such circumstances occurred; and there are not wanting instances, where England followed the example of sound principles of legislation, that had originated in Scotland. This was by no means wonderful, as a very singular similarity occurs, in the first principles of law, in both countries, in so much, that if historical narration did not furnish the most irresistible documents to the contrary, one would be apt to believe, that both ends of the island had been originally subject to the same legislative authority.

Scotland was greatly embroiled, for many ages. During these broils, the feudal jurisdictions could not fail to gain ground; because they were connected with the influence of military force, which was also in those days feudal; and, the institution of such an office, as that of conservators of the peace, was too humiliating to the independancy, or, perhaps, to speak more properly, the tyranny of feudal chieftains to be readily adopted.

During the reign of James VI. however, Scotland had some rest; and, though the politics of that prince are by no means to be admired, in general, he seems invariably to have persisted in one sound idea of humbling the power of the feudal aristocracy. He meant it, indeed,

deed, to enlarge his own prerogative, and not to favour the liberty of the subjects, for every diminution of the inheritable powers of feudal jurisdictions, was, in fact, an accession to the supereminent power of the crown.

During this reign, it was, that some thing similar to the office of the conservators of the peace in England, was introduced in Scotland by the statute 1587. c. 82. This statute, when considered in its whole detail, is a pretty remarkable one. Without deviating from the terms of the law of Scotland, it, in the *first* place, appears to have meant to introduce circuits in Scotland, after the example of the English circuits, by special commissions from the king, for the tryal of crimes of material importance; and, in the *second* place, to erect county courts, under the denomination of *commissioners of justice*, who were to sit by commission from the king; likewise, for the tryal of crimes of an inferior nature. As this statute is a very material one, for distinctly understanding the ideas of criminal jurisdiction, at that time entertained in Scotland, the suspender will use the freedom to recite it pretty particularly.

It would appear from the preamble, that the office of justiciar or justice general, had, at that time, been executed in a very remiss manner in Scotland; in so much, that the justice general, and his deputies, had neglected to perform their circuits regularly, and had brought all criminal business to Edinburgh. The preamble, which sets this forth, is in these words, ‘ Because of the great delay in actions criminal, throw the not halding of justice aires, twise in the zeir, according to the auncient and lovable ordour, establisshed by diverse gude lawes, and actes of parliament, maid of before: Considering the ordinar judgement in criminal causes is onely now at Edinburgh, quhair particular diettes ar sett for certaine special and highest crimes, the punishment of uther offences, quhairby the common-weil is greatly grieved, left to the justice aires, that very sendill haldis, and therethrow are become contemptible: Therefore, and for ease and reliefe of the subjectes, that ar sa frequently inquieted, be cumming in convocation to dayes of law, and to pass upon assises in Edinburgh, quhair the courtes ar oft times continued in hinderance of justice, and to the great trouble and needeles expenses of the king’s lieges; it is statute,’ &c.

The act then proceeds to appoint two circuits in the year, to be held in the months of April and October; for which purpose, the justice general is appointed to make eight deputies, or else the king is to grant a commission, under the testimonial of the great seal, to some of the senators of the college of justice, or certain well experimented



mented advocates; that are most able to travel, appointing two o-  
 ver every quarter of the Realm, with a deputy of the Treasurer's,  
 and another of the Justice Clerk's. The form of their proceeding is  
 pointed out by the statute; but, as this part of the act has no con-  
 cern with the present question, the suspender shall not enter upon  
 that, having mentioned this institution only historically.

The act then proceeds to what is more materially connected with  
 the present question: And, on this head, it says, that ' It is statute  
 ' and ordained, that our Sovereine Lord, with advise of his Chancel-  
 ' lar, Thesaurer, Justice Clerk, shall nominate, and give commissi-  
 ' on to honourable and worthie persons, being knawen of honest  
 ' fame, and esteemed, na maintainers of evill or oppression; and in  
 ' degreee, Earles, Lordes, Barronnes, Knights, and special gentle-  
 ' men, landed, experimented in the lovable laws and customes of  
 ' the realme, actual indwellers in the same shires; to the number  
 ' hereafter limited, according to the boundes and quantity of every  
 ' schire.' The act then proceeds to specify the number, being seven  
 fourteen, or twenty one, from each shire; as particularly mention-  
 ed in the act; and then it declares, ' whilks shall be the King's com-  
 ' missioners, and justices, in the furtherance of justice, peace, and  
 ' quietnesse; togidder with four of the councell of every burgh, with-  
 ' in the selfe, quhilks shall be constant and continual uptakers of  
 ' dittay. Givand, grantand, and committand to them, full power  
 ' to take inquisition, and make dittay, be their awin knowlege, or  
 ' be an sworne inquest, or sworne particular men, of all persones su-  
 ' spected culpable of the crimes and defaultes contained in the  
 ' table, to be maid be the thesaurer, justice clerk, and advocate,  
 ' annexed to this present act, divided in twa sortes: And all per-  
 ' sons, delated as culpable in the first degree; the saids judges and  
 ' commissioners, shall ather apprehend, and committ to waird (gif  
 ' conveniently they canne) or elf, shall deliver them in the portuous,  
 ' to the crowner of the schire, every moneth, anis, to be arreisted  
 ' and put under soverty be him; or his deputes, to the nixt justice  
 ' aire, to be halden twise in the year, be the kings justices deputes,  
 ' directed from his hienesse, in manner before specified: And, up-  
 ' on all persons delated, and suspected as culpable of the uther crimes  
 ' and defaultes in the second degree, the saids justices, and com-  
 ' missioners in the schires, shall proceede and do justice themselves, at  
 ' their courtes and meetings, to be kept four times every zeir; that  
 ' is to say, at the first day of Maii; at the first day of August; at the  
 ' first day of November; and, at the first day of Februar; or uther-  
 ' wayes, at ony time: Three thereof, then being togidder, always sit-  
 ' ting

‘ting in the tolbuith of the head burgh of the schire; and that they  
 ‘remaine at every aine of the saids four times in the zear, three days  
 ‘togidder, or langer or shorter as they finde occasion, with power  
 ‘to them to direct their precepts and portuous, to the crowniers;  
 ‘and their precepts to schirreffes, or officers of armes, to *summond*  
 ‘*assises ilk person under the paine of ten poundes.*’

In this appointment, your Lordships will perceive the original idea of justices of peace, and even of quarter sessions; and, what is most material to observe in the present cause, and will fall to be more particularly taken notice of afterwards, you will observe likewise, that they are impowered to direct their precepts to sheriffs, or officers at arms, to summon assizes; from which it appears, that the trials were meant to be by jury, and no otherwise.

The justices, or commissioners to be appointed by virtue of this statute, were to have jurisdiction, only to determine such causes as should be held to be of an inferior degree of criminalty; which causes were to be set forth in a table to be made up by the treasurer, justice clerk, and advocate, and which was to be annexed to the act; but, as no such table is found annexed to the act, it is highly probable, that this institution, however wisely calculated, never took effect; and this is the more probable, that, in the same reign, there appears other acts, relative to justices of peace, which are, in reality, new establishments.

By one of these, viz. the stat. 1619, c. 7. entitled, ‘act a-  
 ‘nent the commissioners and justice of peace,’ after a preamble full of very fulsome adulation to the king, and of very considerable length, it is statute and ordained, ‘That in every schyre within  
 ‘this kingdome, there shall be yearlie appoynted, by his majestie,  
 ‘some godlie, wyse, and vertuous gentlemen, of good qualitie,  
 ‘moyen and report, making residence within the same, in sik num-  
 ‘ber, as the boundes of the shyre shall requyre, to be commissioners  
 ‘for keeping his majestys peace; to whom his majestie, with advyce  
 ‘of the lords of his privie counsell, shall give power and commission,  
 ‘to oversee, try, and prevent all sik occasions, as may breed trouble  
 ‘and violence amongst his majestys subjects, or forceable contempt  
 ‘of his majesty’s authoritie, and breach of his peace; and to com-  
 ‘mand all persons, in whom they shall see manifest intention to  
 ‘make trouble or disorder, either by gathering together of idle and  
 ‘disorderlie persons, or by public bearing or wearing pistolets or o-  
 ‘ther forbidden weapons, and sik other ryotous and swaggring be-  
 ‘haviour, to binde themselves, and find caution, under competent  
 , paines



‘ paines, to observe his majesties peace, and for their compearance before  
 ‘ his majesties justice or lords of his privie counsell, to underly sik order as  
 ‘ shall be found convenient, for punishing their transgressions, or staying  
 ‘ of troubles and enormities; and, if need shall be, to requyre the dutiful  
 ‘ and obedient subjects of the shyre to concurre with them, in prevent-  
 ‘ ing all sik contempts and violences, or for taking or wairding of the  
 ‘ wilfull and disobedient authors, committers, and fosterers of these  
 ‘ crymes and disorders, under sik competent arbitrare paines, as his  
 ‘ majestie and lords of his privie counsell shall appoynt, for the offen-  
 ‘ ders; and sik of the countrie, as being requyred, shall not give their  
 ‘ readie and a fald concurrance to his majesties commissioners, in the  
 ‘ premisses, whereby the ordinarie magistrates, and officiares within  
 ‘ the shyres, may be the better assisted, and their absence, employ-  
 ‘ ments, or other impediments mair commodiouslie supplied, with-  
 ‘ out derogation of their jurisdiction, or want of readie comfort and  
 ‘ justice to the obedient subjects within the bounds thereof; ordein-  
 ‘ ing also the saids commissioners, to give true advertisement and  
 ‘ information, to the lords of his majesties privie counsell, justice-ge-  
 ‘ nerall, and his deputes; his majesty’s thesaurer, and other magi-  
 ‘ strates and officers whom it effeirs, of the names of sik faithful  
 ‘ and unsuspect witnesses and assysers, to be summoned in all crymes  
 ‘ and disorders, whilk shall happen to fall forth within the saids shyres,  
 ‘ as shall be knawn to be maist meet and able for tryell and proba-  
 ‘ bation of the same, and for eschewing, that sik as are either aged,  
 ‘ feiklie, or unable to travell, or ignorant of the facts to be tryed,  
 ‘ be not unjustlie vexed, or unnecessarlie drawne from their awne  
 ‘ houses and affaires, for matters wherein they are not able to give  
 ‘ any light.’

It seems that the king had given instructions to these justices, re-  
 specting the nature of their office and jurisdiction, but that doubts  
 had occurred concerning the authority of these instructions; so that  
 it became necessary to have them ratified and confirmed by act of  
 parliament. In consequence of this, the statute 1617, c. 8.  
 appears to have been made; the preamble to which is in the follow-  
 ing words: ‘ Our sovereign lord, with advice and consent of the  
 ‘ estates of parliament, having considered the articles and instructi-  
 ‘ ons given of before by his majesty, to the justices and commissio-  
 ‘ ners, appointed for keeping of his majesty’s peace, and to their  
 ‘ constables, which were presented to his higness, and unto the said  
 ‘ estates, by the saids justices, and desired to be authorised by de-  
 ‘ creet and sentence of parliament, has ratified and confirmed the  
 ‘ same, in manner as they are particularly here set down and ex-

‘ pressed, in every point and article thereof, of which the tenor follows, &c.’

The opinion of the legislature concerning this act, whether it was a temporary or perpetual one, appears to have been different at different times. By the statute 1633. c. 25. it is ratified, approved, and confirmed, and power is given to the privy council, to impose penalties on justices, for their non attendance at the quarter sessions, and also to enlarge and amplify their powers, as the council saw fit; declaring, that whatever the privy council did, in this matter, should have the force of an act of parliament; so that the legislature, at that time, seems to have been of opinion, that the act was perpetual.

In the time Charles the II, however, a different idea seems to have prevailed, *viz.* That the act had been only temporary, and was expired; for, in the year 1661, there was an act made, entitled ‘ commission and instructions to the justices of peace and constables. The act is c. 38. of that year, and the preamble to it is in the following words: ‘ Our sovereign Lord, taking to his royal consideration, how much the appointing of justices of peace, and constables, within all the shires of this kingdom, under the reign of his majesty’s royal predecessors, did contribute to the peace, quiet, and good government thereof, and to the speedy and impartial execution of law and justice, to all persons subjected to their jurisdiction and power: Therefore, and for the furtherance of these ends in the future, his majesty, with advice and consent of the estates of parliament, doth hereby statute and ordain, that, in all time coming, there shall be justices of his majestys peace appointed, within each severall shire of this kingdom, to be nominate from time to time by his majesty, and his royal successors; which justices of peace are hereby impowered to administrate justice, and put his majesty’s laws in execution, according to the particular instructions aftermentioned, &c.’

It is, however, a matter of no importance, whether the statute of James VI. was perpetual or tempory; for this act of Charles II. must now be considered to be the rule; and, as the instructions it contains are very little different from those in the statute of James the VI. only more distinct and explicit in some points, the two statutes may, in effect, be considered as the same.

These being the standing instructions for justices of peace, according to the law of Scotland, it will be proper to consider the matter they contain, with some degree of accuracy. They are divided into separate articles, and the suspender shall resume those articles, barely



barely stating those that are foreign to the present question, but reciting, somewhat more particularly, those that give any species of jurisdiction, in order that the full extent of the jurisdiction may more distinctly appear.

The *first* article appoints the form of an oath of alledgiance, and oath *defideli*.

The *second* article appoints the days of the quarter sessions; in which sessions, it is said, ‘ They shall administrate justice to the people, in things that are within their jurisdictions, and punish the guilty, for faults and crimes done and committed in the preceeding quarter; and, by mutual and conjunct advice, make and rectify ordinances, for the fies of servants, shearers in harvest, and other labouring men, appoint prices for all handy-crafts, elect or continue constables, or other officers, and dispose of the fines and mulcts, for payment of the constables, clerks, and other officers fees, and employ the remanent, on such necessary and pious uses, as they shall find most expedient; and shall have power to continue the said sessions, or to adjourn the same, to such days and places as shall be most convenient.’

Though, in this article, the words *punish the guilty for faults and crimes done and committed in the preceeding quarter*, seem to be general, and might at first sight, be construed to give a very indefinite degree of criminal jurisdiction, yet, from the nature of the thing, that cannot be the case, as the words, taken in an unlimited sense, are broad enough to take in all sort of crimes, even those of a capital nature, and the pleas of the crown; which, however, is a construction, that hath never been put upon them; and, as particular powers are afterwards given them, in the subsequent part of the statute, the general words must be construed, as limited to those special powers; and their criminal jurisdiction, given them, in this article, explained as is particularly done with regard to their civil jurisdiction, *viz.* That they are to punish for faults and crimes, in things that are within their jurisdiction, given them by the subsequent clauses of the statute.

The *third* article relates to the form and power, of binding over to the peace.

The *fourth* article regulates the method of proceeding, for contempt of authority, in case of refusal to appear before them.

The *fifth* and *sixth* articles, give them a controul over the sheriffs and baron bailies, in some particulars, as to the due execution of their office, by informing the privy council of certain abuses.

The *seventh* article gives the justices a jurisdiction to punish riots,  
and

and likewise appoints the form of procedure, with respect to riots. The words of this clause are; ‘ The saids justices, shall hereby have power to proceed upon all persons, committing riots and breaking the king’s peace, under the degree of noblemen, prelates, ‘ chancellors, and senators of the College of Justice, and to punish ‘ and fine, according to the quality of the crime, and the estate of ‘ the offender; and, if any of the saids persons, being charged to ‘ compear before the saids justices, shall disobey, the summons being indorsed, the lawful citation being verified, and fact proven, ‘ the justices shall punish and fine the not compearing, according ‘ to the quality of the crime, and estate of the offender; and, for ‘ the more clear determination of the order, which shall be kept by ‘ the saids commissioners, in the deducing of any such process, our ‘ Sovereign Lord, with advice of his estates, declareth, that it shall ‘ be lawful to the saids justices, whensoever they have any occasion, ‘ to move any action against parties, for committing any like fact ‘ or riot, to refer the first summons to the parties oaths of verity, ‘ failing of other lawful probation; who, being personally summoned by that first citation, shall be holden as confest, and decreet to ‘ be pronounced again him, conform to the libel and summons; ‘ and, if he be not personally summoned by the first citation, the ‘ saids commissioners shall be holden to cause summon him of new ‘ again, by a second summons, at his dwelling place; which two ‘ citations shall be as sufficient to infer decreet, and sentence, upon ‘ the libel against him, as if he were apprehended personally; and ‘ which sentence, given after the manner and form of probation ‘ above written, his majesty, with advice foresaid, authorises and ‘ sustains, as good and lawful in themselves.’ In passing, it deserves your Lordships particular attention, as to this article that the form of process, thereby pointed out, is not a general one, respecting the whole criminal jurisdiction of the justices of the peace, but relates to their jurisdiction in matters of riot alone; an observation that shall not be farther descanted upon here, as the force of it is more felt in the other question, now under the consideration of your Lordships, viz. The propriety of the mode of trial, and whether it ought to be by jury or not?

The *eighth* article is in the following words: ‘ The saids commissioners shall put his majesty’s act of parliament to due and full execution, against wilful beggars and vagabonds, solitary and idle ‘ men and women, without calling or trade, lurking in ale-houses, ‘ tied to no certain services, repute and holden vagabonds; and against those persons who are commonly called *Egyptians*; and they ‘ shall



‘ shall punish and fine their resetters, and setters of houses to them  
 ‘ accordingly, by such competent pains as is proper for them to en-  
 ‘ join.’ In this article a very considerable degree of jurisdiction is  
 given, particularly in that part of it relating to *Egyptians*, as to which,  
 a very different trial must, by the necessity of law, take place, from  
 that which was appointed for rioters in the last article.

The *ninth* article is in the following words: ‘ The saids commif-  
 ‘ sioners, and justices of peace, are hereby authorised and impower-  
 ‘ ed, to give order (as they shall think most convenient, and with  
 ‘ least grief to the subjects) for mending of all highways and passages,  
 ‘ to or from any market town, or sea-port, within that shire, and  
 ‘ shall call before them, all such persons as shall strait these passages,  
 ‘ or otherways, by casting ditches or fulsies, through the same, shall  
 ‘ make these highways, noysome and troublesome unto passengers,  
 ‘ and shall punish and fine them, according to the quality of their  
 ‘ offence: And, to the effect it may be known, of what breadth all  
 ‘ common highways should be to market towns, our sovereign Lord,  
 ‘ with advice foresaid, declareth, that the same should be of twenty  
 ‘ foot of measure, in breadth, at the least; and where any are of  
 ‘ longer breadth, they ordain the same so to remain, unaltered or  
 ‘ straitened, and that the saids justices maintain the same, with all  
 ‘ other ways, from any town in the parish, to the parish churches,  
 ‘ in the estate as they are, and where they find any necessity of o-  
 ‘ ther ways from any town in the parish, to parish churches, they  
 ‘ shall inform his majesty’s secret council thereof, who shall give  
 ‘ them (after sufficient information) their direction thereanent, ac-  
 ‘ cording whereunto they shall be holden to proceed: And, if any  
 ‘ person refuse to concurr for mending of highways and passages,  
 ‘ the saids justices shall have power to censure and punish them, ac-  
 ‘ cording to their direction; with provision always, that, if in their  
 ‘ proceedings herein, they use such severity or rigour, as may move  
 ‘ just complaints against them, they shall be censured therefore, by  
 ‘ his majesty’s secret council, as appertaineth.’ This part of the  
 statute, is in a great measure, repealed, by the subsequent acts which  
 have been made, relative to the care and reparation of the high roads,  
 now vested in the united bodies of justices of peace, and commis-  
 sioners of supply.

The *tenth* article provides, that, ‘ The saids justices shall put his  
 ‘ majesty’s acts of parliament to execution, against cutters and de-  
 ‘ stroyers of planting, green wood, orchyards, gardens, haynings,  
 ‘ breakers of dove-houses, and cunninghares, stealers of bees and  
 ‘ bee-hyves, users of unlawful games, with setting dogges, slayers of

‘ red and black fishes and smolts, in forbidden time, foulers fouling  
 ‘ in other mens lands, makers of muir-burn and mosseburn, setters  
 ‘ of crooes and nets, in watters and dames, having and keeping of  
 ‘ crooes and yairs, in forbidden time; and shall proceed against  
 ‘ them accordingly; and, for their better warrand to proceed in the  
 ‘ premisses, it is his highness’ pleasure, that commissions be granted  
 ‘ to the saids justices of peace, to try and punish the violators of the  
 ‘ said acts; in the tryal whereof they shall proceed by witnesses, or  
 ‘ by oath of party, and the punishment to be inflicted by them shall  
 ‘ be a pecunial sum, answerable to the circumstances of the offence,  
 ‘ and quality of the offenders; with special provisions, that their  
 ‘ censures and punishments shall extend against none, but those a-  
 ‘ gainst whom, by privilege of their instructions, they may lawfully  
 ‘ proceed.’ Here, again, there occurs an instance of a particular  
 mode of procedure being pointed out, with respect to particular  
 crimes; a remarkable piece of evidence, that the following out this  
 mode of procedure, was not at all understood to be the general rule  
 of proceedings before the justices, but was to be adopted by them,  
 only, in judging of particular crimes.

The *eleventh* article obliges the justices to inform the privy council, the treasurer, and advocate, once a year of forestallers and regraters, that order may be taken with them, conform to the acts of parliament; but no authority is given to the justices to proceed against those crimes themselves.

The *twelfth* article imposes particular penalties on inn-keepers, who resett rebels at the horn, vagabonds, or persons guilty of known crimes; and it authorises them to be punished, in the first place, by the barons, and masters of the ground, whereon they dwell, provided that is done within fifteen days after committing the fact; but, if they neglect to do it within that time, then the justices may proceed against them, but without prejudice of all action, criminal or civil, competent of the law.

The *thirteenth* article directs them to inform the treasurer and advocate, of breakers of the act made against maltmakers.

The *fourteenth* article impowers them to make regulations, in time of plague, and to punish, severely, those that disobey them.

The *fifteenth* article authorises the quarter sessions, held in August and February, to regulate the ordinary hyre and wages of labourers, workmen, and servants, and to imprison and punish those who refuse to serve; and also gives them a civil jurisdiction, for enforcing payment of such wages.

The *sixteenth* article orders them to inform the privy council, where



where gaols are a wanting; and also appoints them to take notice that gaols be kept in repair.

The *seventeenth* article gives them power to make an assessment, for the maintenance of prisoners, committed for crimes, who are unable to maintain themselves.

The *eighteen* article appoints all keepers of gaols to receive those committed by the justices.

The *nineteenth* article gives them a power to set a price upon craftsmens work; upon the ordiners of penny-bridals, together with the price of shearers fees; and to punish those who should contraveen the regulations.

The *twentieth* article gives them a power of appointing single and double ale to be brewed, naming visitors for that effect; and to punish drunkenness.

The *twenty first* article declares three justices to be a quorum, in ordinary court.

The *twenty second* article declares they are not subject to the execution of letters of caption.

The *twenty third* article names a commission for regulating weights and measures, and appoints the justices to make trial of those in use and inform the privy council where they find them disconform to the standard.

The *twenty fourth* article impowers the justices to apprehend those who have contemned the censures of the church.

The *twenty fifth* appoints them to be present at the quarter sessions, give their oath to the bench, at their admission, make their record, and make payment of the fines intromitted with by them, as justices of the peace, to the collector.

The *twenty sixth* article orders them to appoint a sufficient collector, for uplifting fines and penalties, and take security from him to account.

The *twenty seventh* article gives an allowance to the justices, for their attendance during the time of the sessions, and impose a fine on those who are absent.

The *twenty eight* article authorises letters of horning, on fifteen days, to be issued for making effectual their fines, and orders no suspension to be granted, but upon consignation and caution for expences.

The *twenty ninth* article orders them, at the end of every session, to send to the privy council, a catalogue of all such persons, as they either committed, or put under surety, with a short abbreviate of the cause.

The

The *thirtieth* article gives the following jurisdiction: \* The said justices shall put in execution all acts of parliament, made for punishing all persons whatsoever, who shall curse, or prophanely swear, or shall be mockers or reproachers of piety, or the exercise thereof, and shall require and levy, upon every offender, the several penalties following, viz. of a nobleman, twenty pounds; each baron, twenty merks; gentlemen heritors or burges, ten merks; each yeoman fourty shillings; each servant, twenty shillings scots money; each minister, in the fifth part of his years stipend, without prejudice to other proceedings against any such minister for the same: And, in any of all the cases before specified in this instruction, the said justices shall put in execution all such laws, as for corporal punishments, as have any provisions mentioned in them for such cases; and, in case of the inability of the parties delinquents, to pay the sum mentioned in this instruction, the said justices shall put in execution such laws, as for corporal punishments, as have any provisions mentioned in them for such cases; and, that the wives delinquents shall be punished according to the quality of their respective husbands, and that their husbands be liable for the payment of their wives fines respectively, in manner above mentioned, *toties quoties*, for each fault; and all others whatsoever, not particularly herein nominate, are to pay in proportion to their respective qualities and degrees: And also, the said justices are to put in execution, the acts of parliament made for the punishing of all persons that shall be found guilty of the sin of fornication, and that they levy, or cause be levied, the several pecunial sums therein mentioned, viz. for each nobleman, for the first fault, four hundred pounds; each baron, two hundred pounds; each other gentlemen and burges, one hundred pounds; every other person, of inferior quality, ten pounds scots money; and that these penalties shall be doubled, *toties quoties*.\*

The *thirty first* article enacts, that the justices shall put the acts of parliament in execution, for the punishing of all persons found guilty of the sin of drunkenness, or excessive drinking, especially under the names of healths, or haunting taverns, or ale-houses, after ten of the clock at night, or at any time of the day, excepting in time of travel, or for ordinary refreshments; as also, against the keepers of the taverns or ale-houses, that shall sell the drink unto them.

By the *thirty second* article it is provided, that the justice shall put in to execution, all acts of parliament, made against such persons as shall profane the Lord's day, and require or levy the penalties herein contained.



The *thirty third* article declares in what way the justices are to proceed, when one shall accuse another; as guilty of treason, murder, or other felony, blasphemy, incest, or any other heinous crimes. It is remarkable, that this part of the law gave them no power to proceed themselves to trial, it only authorises them to commit, or to take bail, to examine, and to take security for witnesses appearing. As this article seems to be pretty material, in the present question, the suspender will beg leave to recite the words of the act it self, in describing the duty prescribed to the justices on such occasions. The law says, in such cases, ' the said justice, or ' justices, shall forthwith cause such person or persons, to be apprehended; and, after enquiry made in the cause, the said justice, or ' justices, if they find cause, shall commit the defender to prison, ' or take sufficient bail, if the case, by the law, be bailable; and ' shall take the information of the party accusing, upon oath, and ' bind him to prosecute; and shall take the testimony or deposition ' of the witnesses, likewise upon oath, and bind them to give an evidence; and shall also take the examination of the party accused. All which recognizances, informations, depositions, and ' examinations, the said justice, or justices, shall certify to the next ' quarter session, assizes, or criminal courts respectively, to the end ' the justice may proceed against them according to the law.'

The *thirty fourth* article mentions how the justices are to proceed, in case any nobleman, baron, or bailie, should claim a right of repleading any person apprehended by them.

The *thirty fifth* article gives the justices power to take measures for the maintenance of the poor; and it prescribes what they are to do upon that occasion.

The *thirty sixth* article impowers justices to call to account, those who had acted in the capacity of justices of the peace, during the usurpation, for the fines and penalties levied by them.

These instructions contain the sum of the powers vested in justices of the peace, by the law of Scotland, anterior to the union; for, though in the time of James the VII. they were vested with power to proceed against those that frequented conventicles, and who were concerned in irregular baptisms marriages, &c yet, those powers were repealed at the revolution, after which the office of a justice of peace continued to be regulated by these instructions.

Having thus given a summary of the statute, it would appear, that the criminal jurisdiction of the justices extends no farther, than the following crimes; riots, cutting and destroying of planting, green wood, orchards, gardens, haymies, breaking of dove-houses

and cunninghares, stealing of bees and bee hives, using of unlawful games, with setting dogs, slaying of red and black fishes and smolts, in forbidden time, fowling on other mens lands, making of muir-burn and moss-burn, setting of crooes and nets in waters and dams, having and keeping of crooes and yairs in forbidden time; as to all of which crimes, their mode of procedure is pointed out in the statute itself, they being especially empowered to proceed in these matters by the oath of party. The other crimes, concerning the mode of procedure in the tryal of which nothing in particular is pointed out; are that the justices have power to punish all beggars and vagabonds; those reputed as vagabonds and Egyptians; those who refuse to concur for mending the high ways, cursing and prophane swearing, mocking and reproaching piety, or the exercise thereof; fornication, drunkenness, keeping taverns and ale-houses, resorted to by drunkards; and prophanation of the lords day.

So standing the law, the suspender is advised, that, as the law of Scotland stood at the union, the justices of the peace had no power to punish any other crimes, than those specially above recited; and that, in particular, they had no jurisdiction to punish the crime of theft in general.

For, had it been meant to give them a general jurisdiction, as to this crime, there was no reason for giving them, in the tenth section, a particular jurisdiction for trying the breakers of dove-houses and cunninghares, and stealers of bees and bee hives. If they could have tried great thefts, it would have followed of consequence, that they could have tried those petty ones; and the legislator, by giving them a special jurisdiction as to them, does, by implication, exclude their jurisdiction in thefts, of a more aggravated nature.

The *thirty third* article of the law demonstrates still more clearly, that it was not meant to give them a jurisdiction to try the crime of theft; for, there it is declared, that in case of accusation of any heinous crime, or of any felony, they are not to try, but only to take precognition and securities, and to transmitt these to the quarter sessions, assizes, or criminal courts respectively, to the end the justice may proceed against them according to the law; by the appellation *the justice* here, is plainly meant, the justice general, or the court of justiciary, where such offenders were to be tried, and not by the justices of the peace. Theft undoubtedly comes under the denomination of a felony, more especially in such a case as that contained in the present complaint, where it is explicitly said, that the person complained on, *feloniously* abstracted, &c. thereby, and in a special manner, bringing to trial explicitly for a felony.



The complainer, distrusting the law of Scotland, on this head hath endeavoured to avail himself of the British statute 6. *Annæ. chap. 6. § 2.* Which is in the following words: ‘ And to the end  
 ‘ the public peace be in like manner preserved, throughout the  
 ‘ whole united kingdom, be it further enacted, by the authority a-  
 ‘ foresaid, that, in every shire and stewartry, within that part of  
 ‘ Great Britain called Scotland; and also, in such cities, boroughs,  
 ‘ liberties, and precincts, within Scotland, as her majesty, her heirs  
 ‘ or successors, shall think fit, there shall be appointed, by her ma-  
 ‘ jesty, her heirs, or successors, under the great seal of Great Bri-  
 ‘ tain, a sufficient number of good and lawfull men to be justices of  
 ‘ the peace, within their respective shires, stewartries, citys, boroughs,  
 ‘ liberties, or precincts; which persons so appointed, over and above  
 ‘ the several powers and authorities vested in justices of the peace,  
 ‘ by the laws of Scotland, shall be further authorized to do, use,  
 ‘ and exercise, over all persons within their several bounds, what-  
 ‘ ever doth appertain to the office and trust of a justice of peace, by  
 ‘ virtue of the laws and acts of parliament made in England, before  
 ‘ the union, in relation to, and for the preservation of the publick  
 ‘ peace.’

This act, however, it is humbly apprehended, can never be understood in such a sense, as to enlarge the jurisdiction of the justices of peace, in Scotland, in such a manner, as to enable them to try the crime of theft; for the whole powers of justices of peace in England, are not given them, but only those powers that relate to, and for the preservation of the public peace; an expression that never would have been used, if an indefinite degree of authority had been meant to be given, after the example of the English justices; on the contrary, a power would have been given to Scots justices, to try all felonys and other crimes, that the English justices are authorized to try.

Accordingly, the legislator appears to have considered this act in no other view, than as giving powers of police to the justices, rather preparatory to the bringing of trials, than judicial, for carrying them on. This appears from the statute, 8vo. *Annæ chap. 16. § 3. and 4.* Which are in the following words: ‘ Whereas, by an act made in  
 ‘ the sixth year of her majesty’s reign, entituled an act for the ren-  
 ‘ dering the union of the two kingdoms more entire and compleat,  
 ‘ it is, amongst other things, enacted, That the justices of the peace  
 ‘ in Scotland, may do, use, and exercise, over all persons within  
 ‘ their several bounds, whatever doth appertain to the office and  
 ‘ trust of a justice of peace, by virtue of the laws and acts of parlia-  
 ‘ ment made in England before the union, in relation to, or for  
 ‘ the

the preservation of, the public peace; by virtue of which powers  
 and priviledges, vested in them for the purposes aforesaid, they  
 have sufficient authority to receive information, concerning crimes  
 committed within the respective counties, and to committ such  
 offenders, or take security or recognizance, and to do other ne-  
 cessary acts, for the effectual prosecution of the said crimes; in  
 consequence whereof, the old method of taking up dittay, and  
 exhibiting informations agaisit delinquents, by the stress and por-  
 teous roll, as the same was grievous, is now become unnecessary:  
 Be it therefore enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that, from and  
 after the first day of May, the said method of taking up dittay,  
 and exhibiting information, by the stress, and porteous roll, shall  
 be, and is hereby totally discharged and abolished, to all intents  
 and purposes whatsoever, any law or statute to the contrary, in  
 any wise, notwithstanding: § 4. And be it further enacted, by the  
 authority aforesaid, that, informations, in order to making up of dit-  
 tays, concerning crimes to be tried in the said circuits in Scotland,  
 from and after the first day of May next, shall be by presentments  
 to be made by the justices of peace, at their quarter sessions, or  
 upon informations to be taken by the sheriffs, stewarts, baillies of  
 regalitys, and their deputies; magistrates of boroughs, or other  
 inferior judges and magistrates, within the jurisdiction of the re-  
 spective circuits, concerning such crimes as are to be tried before  
 the Lords of Justiciary, in their circuits, in the month of July and  
 February yearly; and the said justices of peace, at least two of them  
 are hereby required and authorised, to meet at the head burgh of  
 the respective shires, within which they are justices, and at the  
 ordinary place and hour of meeting, upon the twenty first day of  
 the said months of July and February, respectively, yearly, being  
 lawfull days, or, on the next lawful day thereafter, there to re-  
 ceive such informations as shall be offered, concerning matters cri-  
 minal, to be tried in the circuits; and to revise such informations  
 as have been taken before the time of the said meetings, by two  
 or more of the justices of the peace, otherwise than at their quar-  
 ter meetings: And the said sheriffs, stewarts, baillies of regalties,  
 and their deputies; magistrates of boroughs, and other inferior  
 judges and magistrates, respectively, shall meet upon the twenty  
 second days of the said months of July and February respectively,  
 yearly, being lawful days, or, on the next lawfull day thereafter,  
 at the ordinary places and hour of their meetings, there to receive  
 such informations as shall be offered, concerning matters criminal,  
 to be tried in the circuits; and the said justices, sheriffs, stewarts,  
 baillies,



‘ baillies of regalities, and their deputies; magistrates of burghs, and  
 ‘ other inferior judges and magistrates, are hereby required and au-  
 ‘ thorised, to make up particular accounts of such criminal facts;  
 ‘ happening within their respective bounds, as are to be tried before  
 ‘ the respective circuits; containing the names and designation of  
 ‘ the offenders, the facts committed, with the circumstances of time  
 ‘ and place, and others, that may serve to discover the truth; con-  
 ‘ taining also, the names and designations of the witnesses, and titles  
 ‘ of such writes as are to be made use of at the trials; which infor-  
 ‘ mations are hereby appointed to be signed by the said justices, or  
 ‘ at least two of them, and their clerk, or by the said sheriffs, stew-  
 ‘ arts, baillies of regalities, or their deputies, and clerks, or by the  
 ‘ magistrates of burghs, or other inferior judges or magistrates and  
 ‘ their clerks respectively; and, being so signed, the respective clerks  
 ‘ are also hereby required and authorised, to transmitt the same, to-  
 ‘ gether with such writes, or other evidence or proof, as are to be  
 ‘ made use of in the trials, before the judges at the respective circuits,  
 ‘ to the Lord Justice Clerk, or his deputies, at Edinburgh, at least  
 ‘ forty days before the holding of the respective circuit courts, that,  
 ‘ being given to her majesties advocate, or such as discharge that  
 ‘ trust in Scotland, libels and indictments may be raised and exe-  
 ‘ cuted against parties, assysers, and witnesses, according to the for-  
 ‘ mer laws and custom.’

From the whole of these two clauses of this act of parliament, it  
 is plain, that the legislator did not understand, that, by the for-  
 mer act they had given authority to the justices of peace, to try  
 crimes indiscriminately themselves; but, on the contrary, they had  
 given them only a power of preparing matters, for trial of crimes  
 at the circuit courts.

And, as it cannot be shown from any law, that the justices of  
 peace, since the union, have got an explicit authority to try the  
 crime of theft, the suspender humbly apprehends, that the reason-  
 ing that has been used, is conclusive to show, that they have no  
 such power.

The suspender will *next* proceed to the other point mentioned in  
 the interlocutor of your Lordships, *viz.* Whether the justices  
 could proceed in this complaint, without calling a jury? the  
 discussion of this question must be upon the supposal, that the  
 justices are possessed of a jurisdiction to try the crime; for, if  
 they are possessed of no jurisdiction to try the crime, all ques-  
 tions about the mode of proceeding are unnecessary. The suspen-  
 der, therefore humbly hopes, that the discussion of this question is

not a very material point, in this case. In obedience, however, to your Lordships interlocutor, he shall, as shortly as possible, state such arguments as occur to him, to show, that, if they are possessed of such a power, they must exercise it by a jury.

It is so well known a proposition, that causes of all kinds were in antient times, tried by juries in Scotland, that it is unnecessary to quote authorities in support of it: even civil causes, before the institution of the college of justice, were universally tried by a jury; and, that all criminal causes were so tried, we have the authority of almost all our lawyers. But, in place of entering into a multiplicity of opinions of this kind, it may be necessary, once for all, to refer to the 2 Chap. of the statute of Alexander the II. which is intitled '*de capiendis indictamentis et malefactoribus puniendis*:' from which it is plain, that all malefactors were, in those days, tried by an assize.

And this continued long to be the law; for, even in the year 1634, it appears to have been made a question, whether a criminal action, even when nothing more than a pecuniary unlaw was insisted for, could be referred to a parties oath, or tried otherwise than by a jury? to this purpose, there is a decision observed by Lord Durie 13. Feb. 1634, the bailie of Melrose *contra* Darling, in the following words: 'One Darling being conveyed before the bailie of the regality of Melrose, at the instance of John Tait, and the procurator fiscal for wounding of the said John Tait, to the effusion of his blood; and the fact of blood, and blood wyte, being referred to the defender's oath, Andrew Darling being then present in court, and refusing to give his oath thereupon, decret was given against him, convicting him; and therefore unlawing him in a particular sum, for blood and blood wyte; which decret being suspended, on this reason, that the same is a null sentence, seeing that the party is not, in law, holden to swear upon a criminal fact, and the judge ought not to put it to his oath, but only ought to have tried the same by an assize, and neither by oath, nor yet by witnesses; for witnesses might have been produced before the inquest to inform them, but the judge could not try it by witnesses; and the most that the judge could do in such a case, was to unlaw for contumacy, and not for the fact. The lords sustained the decret, notwithstanding of this reason, and found, that it might be tried by the parties oath, (or by witnesses as some thought) seeing the party was personally present, and, for refusing to give his oath, they found the sentence well given; for he was not pursued for life or member, to incur any criminal sentence therefore, but but only for a pecunial unlaw which being to that end, might be tried by his oath; and in facts clandestinely done in the night, or  
' where



where there are few or none to qualify the same, trial, by the parties oath, with no reason ought to be refused, as is usually done before the Lords of secret council.

In this decision, it appears to have been plainly the opinion of the court, that in all cases, where any other punishment was concluded for, besides a pecuniary fine or unlaw, a trial by jury was essentially requisite, and there was no such thing as a reference to oath of party; and this distinction, between a trial by jury, and a trial wherein reference, by oath of party, is allowed, will fall to be more particularly attended to, in a subsequent part of the argument.

It has been already observed, that, in the statute 1597, chap. 82 which appoints certain commissioners and justices, in the furtherance of justice, peace and quietness, though the jurisdiction of these justices was limited to crimes of an inferior order, which were to be expressed in a particular table, yet their trial was to be by a jury, they being empowered to issue their precepts to sheriffs, and officers at arms, to summon assizes for that purpose. This clearly shows what the ideas of these times were, as to the mode of proceeding in the trials, even of inferior kinds of crimes, viz. that they were all to be by a jury.

The suspender hath already taken notice, of the difference between a trial by a jury, and a trial, where the alternative of a proof by witnesses, or oath of party, was to be admitted. It is remarkable, that, in the 7th and 30th articles of the instructions, 1661, the justices are empowered to proceed, in the trial of the crimes mentioned, in these articles, in the way of reference to oath of party, and holding as confessed; which being special, as to these articles, and not a general rule for all procedure before the justices, must be considered as an exception from the general rule of proceeding, which, therefore, must have been by trial by jury.

And this is farther elucidated by the eight article of the act, wherein the justices are authorised to put his majesties act of parliament in full execution, against wilfull beggars, vagabonds, and Egyptians. Now, the acts of parliament, relative to these, all make mention of trial by jury. Thus by the statute, 1579, chap. 74 'it is enacted, that  
' all persones, being above the aige of fourteene, and within the aige  
' of three scoir and ten zeires, that heirafter ar declared and set  
' foorth, be this act and ordour, to be vagaboundes, strang and idle  
' beggars, quhilkes fall happen at any time heirafter, after the first  
' day of Januar nixt to cum, to bee taken wandering, and misordering  
' themselves, contrarie to the effect and meaning of thir pre-  
' sentes, fall be apprehended, and, upon their apprehension, be  
' brocht befoir the provest and baillies within the burgh; and, in  
' everie

‘ everie parochin in Landwart befor him that fall be constitute justice, be the kings commission, or be the lords of regality within the shamen, to this effect; and be them to bee committed in waired, in the commoun prison, stokkes, or irons, within their jurisdiction, there to be keeped, unlatten to libertie, or upon bande or sovertie, quhill they be put to the knowledge of an assize, quhilk fall be done within sex days thereafter; and gif they happen to be convicted, to bee adjudged to be scourged, and burnt throw the eare with ane hote iron.’ And, in the after part of this act, explaining who are to be holden as falling within its sanction, Egyptians are particularly mentioned.

By another statute, viz. 1609 Chap. 13. A proclamation of the privy council is ratified, ‘ commanding the vagabonds, forners, and common thieftes, commonlie called *Egyptians*, to pass forth of this kingdome, and remain perpetuallie forth thereof, and never to retorne within the shamin, under the pain of death; and that the shamin have force and execution after the first day of August next to come: After the quhilk tyme, if any of the saids vagabounds, called *Egyptians*, as well women as men, shall be found within this kingdome, or any part thereof, it shall be lesome to all his majesties goods subjects, or any ane of them, to cause take, apprehend, imprison, and execute to death, the saids Egyptians, either men or women, as common, notorious, and condemned thieves, by ane assize only to be tried, that they are called knawn, reputed and halden Egyptians.’

In both these acts, it is clear, that the trial of Egyptians was to be by jury; and the justices being impowered to proceed against them, by putting in execution the acts of parliament, could try them no other way but by jury. This, therefore, is very explicit, with respect to one of the articles of the instructions, where no new form of procedure is pointed out; and finding them thus obliged to try by jury, in some of those cases, the sound construction of the law must be, that, unless where there is a special exception made, they are bound to adopt this mode of trial.

Of consequence, supposing the statute of Queen Ann to have authorised them to try thefts, they are bound to proceed by a jury, that being really the mode of trial, authorised by the law of Scotland, in justice of peace courts, before the union, unless where the law had made an explicit exception, which it had done in some few cases.

And, that this was the construction put upon the statute, appears from this, that the form of commissions of the peace for Scotland,

was



was immediately reduced to the same standard with that of commissions of the peace for England, and ever since have run in that form. The clause, with regard to the criminal jurisdiction, is as follows: ' We have also assigned you, and every two or more of you (of whom any one of you the aforesaid A, B, C, D, &c. we will shall be one) our justices, to enquire the truth more fully, by the oath of good and lawful men of the aforesaid county, by whom the truth of the matter shall be the better known, of all and all manner of felonies, poisonings, inchantments, forcerers, art, magic, trespasses, forestallings, regratings, ingressions, and extortions whatsoever; and of all and singular other crimes and offences, of which the justices of our peace may, or ought lawfully to enquire.' Doctor Burn, in his treatise, entitled, *the justice of peace and parish officer*, explains these words, ' by the oath of good and lawful men,' thus, that is by a jury sworn. Thus, by the express tenor of their commission, they are required to try such cases no otherwise than by a jury.

The suspender, therefore, humbly apprehends, that, in crimes of any importance, particularly those falling under the determination of felony, the justices of the peace are not at liberty to proceed any other way than by jury. Hence, if it is to be supposed at all, that they have a jurisdiction to try the crime of theft, they must try that crime in this manner; and until a jury have found the person tried guilty, they cannot inflict any punishment.

And, if this was the case in proceedings before the justices of peace originally, there is no reason why the old law should be departed from, but rather that it should be enforced and strengthened; for, the suspender is sorry to say, that, in later times, the bench of justices of peace is not more respectable than it was of old. In the original institution, in 1587, the largest counties had no more than twenty one; a few of a large extent not more than fourteen, and the rest only seven; in which last class, the counties of Elgin, Linlithgow, Edinburgh, Haddington, Berwick, &c. Counties very populous, and of considerable extent, were comprehended. There was, therefore, great room for choice; and benches of justices might be chosen, consisting of individuals all of high character, and great knowledge; yet, even at that time, it was not judged proper to dispense with the solemn form of trial by jury, in criminal matters that fell under their jurisdiction. At this present time, the commissions of the peace contain a very great number of names, and every new commission contains a still greater number than the former ones. Who are the persons, whose names thus crowd the commissions? are they not those nominal and fictitious free-holders, who are a disgrace

to the political *influence* of this country; for, every person, as soon as he gets his name in the roll of free-holders, though he should be obliged to keep it there, by swallowing oaths, which the nicest casuistry cannot reconcile to the consciences of many, thinks he becomes immediately entitled to act as a judge and a magistrate, in the character of a justice of peace, and is grievously disappointed if his name does not appear in the first commission of the peace for the county. What can be expected from men who have become nominal free-holders, to job away the freedom of election of the legislative body, in so far as concerns the real free-holders, but that, when they become justices, they will job away the sanctity of courts, and the purity of judges, to gratify their private passions and inclinations. Are these men to be entrusted with an unlimited power of punishment, without the security of a tryal by jury, which is imposed as a check, in favour of the liberty of the subject, on the proceedings of the most august criminal courts that the constitution recognizes? The suspender speaks of the power being in the hands of justices, such as these he has mentioned; because, wherever a job is to be driven, such justices will form a majority, and men of sentiment and integrity will be discouraged from attending courts, where all their efforts, to support regularity and propriety of proceedings, cannot avail.

In England, justices of the peace are obliged to try all crimes, of any importance, by jury; yet, in England, the character of a justice of peace is a much more dignified one than with us. No man there, can be a justice of peace, unless he possesses an estate of L. 100. *per annum*, in the county where he is to exercise that office. With us, no qualification of that kind is required. In England, certain persons are even excluded from acting in that office at all. In Scotland, the king may name every denison of Great Britain, who is a protestant, to be a justice of peace in every county, unless ministers of the church of Scotland are excluded, by the stat. 1584. c. 133; And, of late, it hath been not uncommon to insert the names of ministers in the commissions of the peace, and for those ministers to accept of the office. In short, while a considerable degree of knowledge and decorum remains among the justices of peace in England, there is but too much reason to dread, that those in Scotland will become little better, than an ignorant, factious, and disorderly rable. In such circumstances, it is apprehended, that, if they are to exercise criminal jurisdiction at all, they ought to exercise it under the strictest check of a verdict of a jury, unless, in those cases, where the law has specially authorised them to proceed otherwise.

*In respect whereof,*

ANDREW CROSBIE.